

## REMARKS

The present Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed September 3, 2004. It is respectfully submitted that the present application as amended, is in condition for allowance. In the Office Action the title was objected to. A new title has been provided.

5        In the Office Action the arrangement of the specification was objected to. A substitute specification is being submitted herewith. In the present Amendment the entire specification is presented with deleted words in strike-through and added words underlined to show the changes made. A substitute specification with the changes incorporated therein is also submitted herewith.

10        In the Office Action, Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under the 35 U.S.C. §112. Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled and replaced by new Claims 31 and 32.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-6, 8-22 and 24-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) over U.S. Patent No. 6,255,965 to D'Orso.

15        Reconsideration is respectfully requested since d'Orso fails to disclose or suggest (third) indicator means for indicating interception turn, corresponding to a curved portion comprising at least one circular arc in the horizontal plane, one end of the curved portion being connected tangentially to the flight path segment to be intercepted particularly as recited in new Claim 31.

20        In the Office Action, Claims 7 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,255,965 to D'Orso and U.S. Patent No. 5,465,142 to Krumes, et al. Claims 7 and 23 have been cancelled without prejudice. New Claim 37 corresponds to Claim 7. New Claim 53 corresponds to Claim 23. Both Claims 37 and 53 depend from new Claim 31. for the reasons stated hereinabove, with respect to the rejection, Claims 1-6, 8-22 and 24-30; new Claims 37 and 53 are respectfully submitted to be in condition for allowance.

New Claims 31-60 are respectfully submitted to be in condition for allowances over the art of record, considered individually or in combination, in whole or in part.


Allowance is respectfully requested.

5      **CERTIFICATION**

It is respectfully submitted that the substitute specification submitted herewith is equivalent to the original specification as filed, but only provides further clarification of what already has been disclosed. Approval and entry of the substitute specification is requested.

10

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph J. Catanzaro, Esq.  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 25,837

15

ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB  
20      150 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10017-5612  
Tel. (212) 949-9022  
Fax (212) 949-9190

IN THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 of the drawings was objected to. Labels are required.

A revised sheet of drawing Figure 1 is submitted herewith and includes the requisite labels as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.84(c). The drawing sheet bearing Figure 1 is labeled  
5 "REPLACEMENT SHEET."